

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,732	02/10/2006	Robert J T Bunyan	1241149 7727	
	7590 10/09/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	ROJAS, BERNARD		
ARLINGTON,	ARLINGTON, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/567,732	BUNYAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bernard Rojas	2832			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
· <del>-</del>	,—				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02102006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehta [US 7,215,064] in view of Schwartz et al. [US 7,053,737] and in further view of Hyman et al. [US 6504118].

Claims 1, Mehta discloses a micro electromechanical system switch including a fixed contact [120] and a moveable contact [119] on an armature [figure 2a] characterized by: piezoelectric material [110, 111] with associated electrodes [112,115] for bending the armature upon application of electric voltages and providing a piezoelectric switch operation [figures 2a and 2c]; the arrangement being such that operation of the piezoelectric material bends the armature towards the fixed contact and bends the moveable contact (35) into a substantially parallel alignment with the fixed contact [figure 2c].

Mehta fails to teach that the armature is bent away from the fixed contact when in a switch open condition with zero applied voltage.

Schwartz et al. discloses a micro-switch with a cantilever arm [130] that is bent away from a fixed substrate contact [114] when in a switch open condition with zero applied voltage.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to bend the ends of the body of Shirakawa et al. away from the substrate as shown by Schwartz et al. In order to maximize electrical isolation between the transmission line and the electrical contact in an OFF-state while maintaining a low actuation voltage, the actuator arm [body] is bent such that the minimum separation distance between the transmission line and the electrical contact is equal to or greater than the maximum separation distance between the substrate electrostatic [abs].

Mehta in view of Schwartz et al. fails to teach the use of electrodes to electrostatically latch the cantilever.

Hyman et al. teaches a piezoelectric switch [figure 6] with a set of electrodes [215, 242] associated with the movable contacts [237, 220] on the armature in order to latch the movable contact against fixed contacts [222, 239] in a closed position [figures 10b and 10c].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add electrodes to the switch of Mehta in view of Schwartz et al. in order to latch the cantilever in a closed/contact position in order to conserve power when keeping the contacts closed.

Claim 2, Mehta as modified discloses the claimed invention except for the type of signal being switched. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to switch different types of signals depending on the environment in which the switch is used.

Claim 3, Schwartz et al. discloses the switch of claim 2 wherein the moveable contact is a switch contact with at least two protuberances for connecting two electrically isolated parts of a signal line together [figure 3].

Claims 4 and 5, the method of providing a micro electromechanical system is inherent in the product structure as described for claims 1-3 above.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Rojas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1998. The examiner can normally be reached on M and W-F, 5:30-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,732

Art Unit: 2832

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bal Ba

SUPERVISORY FAMOL